

## REMARKS

Claim 1 of the parent application was canceled in that application to permit the allowed claims in that application to issue, but is retained in this continuation application. Applicant therefore does not waive coverage of a scope commensurate with claim 1 of the parent application. The remaining claims originally presented in the parent application are amended herein to eliminate claims of the same scope as those allowed in the parent application and avoid double patenting rejections.

The claims of this continuation application correspond to the claims of the parent application as follows. Except where indicated, the wording of the present claims follows that of the parent claims as amended by the response filed 11 July 2003, omitting the reference numerals.

Continuation	Parent
Claim 1	Claim 1
Claim 2	Claim 5
Claim 3	Claim 8
Claim 4	Claim 9
Claim 5	Claim 12
Claim 6	Claim 15 (with amendments to wording)
Claim 7	Based on part of original claim 15 deleted in 11 July 2003 amendment.
Claim 8	Claim 17
Claim 9	Claim 19
Claim 10	New
Claim 11	New

New claims 10 and 11 are added and are supported by the disclosure originally filed. No new matter is added.

An early action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
JACQUES VINCENT

By:



GREGORY J. LAVORGNA  
Registration No. 30,469  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
One Logan Square  
18<sup>th</sup> and Cherry Streets  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 988-3309  
(215) 988-2757 (fax)

Attorney for Applicant